

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Jason Crews,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Persons associated with (602) 898-8725,

13 Defendants.  
14

No. CV-24-01342-PHX-KML

**ORDER**

15 Plaintiff Jason Crews allegedly received “at least seven illegal telemarketing calls”  
16 on his cell phone. (Doc. 1 at 2.) All those calls were from (602) 898-8725. Based on those  
17 calls, Crews filed a complaint alleging violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection  
18 Act. Crews does not know the identity of the individuals or entities responsible for the  
19 telemarketing calls. Thus, the defendants are identified in the complaint as “Persons  
20 associated with (602) 898-8725.”

21 The same day Crews filed his complaint he also filed an “*Ex Parte* Motion to Serve  
22 Third Party Subpoena prior to a Rule 26(f) conference.” (Doc. 3.) That motion explains  
23 Crews has “queried various public databases” hoping to identify who is associated with the  
24 8725 number. Crews has also called the number, searched the Internet, and used “the Caller  
25 ID Database” and “national skip tracing databases.” (Doc. 4 at 5.) Those queries resulted  
26 in Crews learning the number is “serviced by Onvoy, LLC” but no additional identifying  
27 information. (Doc. 4 at 2.) To gain more information, Crews seeks permission to serve  
28 third-party subpoenas on “Onvoy, LLC, and any subsequent downstream providers as it

1 may identify.” (Doc. 4 at 1.)

2 A plaintiff asking for early discovery must establish he expects the unknown  
3 defendant will be “a real person or entity.” *Columbia Ins. Co. v. seescandy.com*, 185 F.R.D.  
4 573, 578-80 (N.D. Cal. 1999). A plaintiff must also identify the steps taken to locate the  
5 elusive defendant and that the complaint can “withstand a motion to dismiss.” *Id.* Beyond  
6 those requirements, a plaintiff must establish “there is a reasonable likelihood that the  
7 discovery process will lead to identifying information about [the] defendant that would  
8 make service of process possible.” *Id.* at 580. Crews has satisfied the first three  
9 requirements but not the fourth.

10 Crews has not shown “a reasonable likelihood” the discovery he seeks will produce  
11 identifying information because he has not explained the nature of Onvoy’s business or  
12 what it means for the 8725 number to be “serviced by Onvoy.” (Doc. 4 at 2.) Crews has  
13 also failed to explain the relationship Onvoy has with “downstream telephone providers”  
14 and from how many such providers he expects to request information to obtain the  
15 information he seeks. If a subpoena to Onvoy is merely the start of a lengthy and uncertain  
16 sequence of discovery, the court is not inclined to allow such discovery.

17 At present, Crews has not provided a sufficiently concrete and specific explanation  
18 of the background facts, the discovery he wishes to take, and why that discovery is  
19 reasonably likely to lead to the defendants’ identities. The current request for early  
20 discovery is denied but Crews may renew his motion for early discovery if he can offer  
21 significantly more details explaining his request.

22 Crews filed a motion to extend the deadline to serve defendants, arguing an  
23 extension was needed because the court had not ruled on his request for early discovery.  
24 The motion to extend the service deadline is granted but this case will be dismissed for  
25 failure to serve if Crews does not file a renewed motion explaining the need for early  
26 discovery.

27 Accordingly,

28 **IT IS ORDERED** the *Ex Parte* Motion to Serve Third-Party Subpoenas (Doc. 3) is

1 **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**

2 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** no later than **September 23, 2024**, plaintiff shall  
3 file a renewed motion for discovery. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter a judgment of  
4 dismissal without prejudice if plaintiff does not file a motion by that date.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** the *Ex Parte* Motion to Extend the Deadline to  
6 Serve Defendants (Doc. 10) is **GRANTED IN PART**. The deadline for service of process  
7 will be reset by future order if plaintiff is granted leave to obtain early discovery.

8 Dated this 11th day of September, 2024.

9  
10   
11 

---

**Honorable Krissa M. Lanham**  
12 **United States District Judge**  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28